



# FLARECAST: Flare Likelihood and Region Eruption Forecasting : a space weather H2020 project

N. Vilmer<sup>1</sup>, E. Pariat<sup>1</sup>, V. Bommier<sup>1</sup>, F. Baudin<sup>2</sup>, E. Buchlin<sup>2</sup>  
for the FLARECAST project (PC. M. Georgoulis<sup>3</sup> ; PS. S. Bloomfield<sup>4</sup>)

1 LESIA, Paris Observatory, CNRS, UPMC, Université Paris-Diderot

2 Institute of Astrophysics, Université Paris-Sud, CNRS, Orsay

3 Academy of Athens

4 Trinity College Dublin



FLARE CAST




# What is FLARECAST?

**FLARECAST will develop an advanced solar flare prediction system based on automatically extracted physical properties of solar active regions coupled with state-of-the-art flare prediction methods and validated using the most appropriate forecast prediction measures.**

**From 01-01-2015 and within 36 months, FLARECAST will form the basis of the first quantitative, physically motivated and autonomous active-region monitoring and flare-forecasting system, which will be of use to space-weather researchers and forecasters in Europe and around the globe.**




# Who Participates?






# Overall Structure of Work Tasks



Automatic extraction of properties of active region coupled to flare prediction methods validated using forecast verification method




# The FLARECAST team




Name	Affiliation	Role
* M. K. Georgoulis	AA	Project Coordinator
* D. S. Bloomfield	TCD	Project Scientist
* P. Gallagher	TCD	WT2 leader
* A. M. Massone	CNR	WT3 leader
* M. Piana	UNIGE	WT4 leader
* D. S. Bloomfield	TCD	WT5 leader
* N. Vilmer & E. Pariat	CNRS	WT6 leader
* H. Sathiapal	FHNW	WT7 leader
* F. Baudin	UPSud	Local group leader
* A. Csillaghy	FHNW	Local group leader
* D. Jackson	MET OFFICE	Local group leader
+ EC Project Officer + Steering Committee +		
+ local group members + students & post-docs		

# FLARECAST aim

(a) SDO/HMI Sunspot Evolution



(b) GOES X-rays




Understanding the drivers of flare activity and improving flare prediction  
Evolution of an AR over 6 days and GOES X-ray emissions  
The AR is quiet for the first 2 days (small and simple)  
Flaring activity increases after day 3 (strong magnetic flux emergence)




# FLARECAST

## WT2: Active Region Predictors of Flare Activity

(a) SMART



(b) Sunspot Delta Finder



Automatic extraction of active-region size, flux, morphology

# Data mining of active region catalogue properties

# Active Region Predictors of Flare Activity

## SWPC catalogue properties

- Number of spots
- Total spot area
- Longitudinal length
- McIntosh class based on white light images (sunspot size and separation, complexity...)
- Mt. Wilson class

Represents distribution of spot polarities in magnetographs

 unipolar

 bipolar

  multipolar

 close opposite polarity

Sunspots are footprint of B field:



Complex structure  non potential B field 

currents  free energy for flaring

Aim: look at the evolution in complexity, increase of spot area leading to higher flare rate

D.

(Shaun Bloomfield TCD)







# Existing prediction algorithms

Operational (i.e., same times daily)

- SWPC/Met Office
  - Poisson flaring rate (as starting point)  
THEN expert experience
- Solarmonitor.org
  - Poisson flaring rate

Pseudo-Operational (i.e., every day)

- Max Millennium Program
  - minimum observation criteria  
AND/OR expert experience

Poisson probabilities computed from historical flare rates from individual McIntosh classes (Bloomfield et al., 2012)

## WT3: Flare Prediction Algorithms

FLARECAST will utilize the best published (scientific) flare prediction algorithms (aiming to see which of them, or which combinations of them, can notably improve our forecast capability)


A.M. Massone CNR



# WT4 Data Storage and Processing Cloud

WT4: Data Storage and Processing Cloud

F. Baudin, E. Buchlin MEDOC (IAS) Lead M. Piana (UNIGE)



	Databases Flare data SDO/HMI , others? Active Region Properties Prediction data base	<b>MEDOC</b>
	Services: algorithms for AR properties extraction, Flare prediction can be plugged in	



# WT5 Data and Forecast Validation


WT5: Data and Forecast Validation (D.S Bloomfield, TCD (leader) and D. Jackson (UK Met Office))

Dichotomous Forecasting (Yes/No)

Probabilistic Forecasting

		Predicted		TOTAL
		YES	NO	
Observed	YES	$F_{11}$ (hits)	$F_{12}$ (misses)	$N_{observed}$
	NO	$F_{21}$ (false alarms)	$F_{22}$ (correct negatives)	
	TOTAL	$N_{predicted}$		

(a)



(b)

Source: World Climate Research Programme (WCRP) Joint Working Group on Forecast Verification Research

2 x 2 Contingency Table

Reliability Diagram

- ❖ Critical Success Index (CSI)
- ❖ Probability of false alarm (PFA)
- ❖ ...


Skill Score:

$$SS(p, o) = 1 - \frac{MSE(p, o)}{MSE(\langle o \rangle, o)}$$

# WT6 : Explorative Research

Lead (E. Pariat, N. Vilmer, V. Bommier)  
UNIGE, AA, UK MetOffice

- Understand solar magnetic eruptions: Which are the dominant effects (properties of ARs and evolution which trigger the eruption of magnetic configurations)



Investigate new, and innovative flare predictors, their time series and combinations, evaluate their

forecast performance and integrate them into existing or new prediction algorithms. In addition, extend the results of flare prediction into CME onset prediction and advance knowledge of CME properties

SDO/ HMI Observations


Investigate the use of vector magnetic field

# WT6 : Explorative Research


List of new quantities possessing high potential as improved flare predictors

Investigation of the evolution of active region properties leading to flare activity

(a) SDO/HMI Sunspot Evolution



(b) GOES X-rays



Evolution of the active region after flux emergence

Evolution of other properties:

Magnetic fields?

Flows?

Vector magnetic fields?

Currents?

To be investigated

How long in advance to follow the AR evolution?


# WT6 : Explorative Research

List of new quantities possessing high potential as improved flare predictors:  
e.g. fractal dimension

Investigation of the evolution of active region properties leading to flare activity

Combination of properties leading to large flares:

Not only complexity but also area and magnetic flux



Total area  $\text{Mm}^2$

Total unsigned magnetic flux  $\text{Mx}$

Hölder exponent: multifractal analysis  
Reconfiguration of B fields

fractal dimension

McAteer, et al., 2005

# WT6 : Explorative Research



Active Region Properties	Data Sources	Algorithms
Area, number of spots, Hale class, McIntosh class	SWPC Solar Region Summary	N/A
Area, total flux, total negative/positive flux, flux imbalance, flux emergence rate, max/min field strength, PSL length, magnetic gradient, PSL gradient, Schrijver <i>R</i> , Falconer <i>WL</i>	Magnetograms	SMART [1] <i>R</i> [2]
Hale delta configuration	Magnetograms and white light images	Delta Finder [3]
Horizontal flow velocities, shear, gradients, vorticity	Magnetograms and white light images	FLCT [4]
Multiscale spectrum	Magnetograms	[5]
$B_{\text{eff}}$ – Effective magnetic field strength	Magnetograms	[6]
Ising energy	Magnetograms	[7]
Fractal dimension	Magnetograms	[8]

Investigate which ones of the properties or combinations are useful


Investigate use of vector magnetic field from SDO/HMI

Higgins et al., 2011  
Padinhatteeri et al., 2014

More than 25 properties of ARs automatically tracked



# WT6 Explorative Research



Investigation of the use of  
Vector magnetic fields

NLFF magnetic extrapolation based on  
SDO/HMI observations of  
vector magnetograms


Evolution of Q maps:  
Quasi separatrix Layers

Evolution of the normalized free energy  
as a function of height and time  
in AR 11158

before the X class flare of 15/12/01  
(Zhao, Li, Pariat et al., 2014)





# WT6 : Explorative Research



Investigate one of the few invariant of MHD : magnetic helicity

Helicity conservation plays role in:

- dynamo
- magnetic reconnection
- CME ejection





Methods of measures recently developed:  
Georgoulis et al. 08, 12, Valori et al. 12, Moraitis et al. 14

Study and determine the role of magnetic helicity

- MHD simulations
- observational data

# WT6 : Explorative Research




← Photo. U-loop recon.      coronal X-type reconn →


Study the trigger of CMEs:  
Rôle of the Torus instability

Theoretical studies indicating dominant role of Torus instability :  
Aulanier et al. 10,12; Savcheva et al. 12; Zuccarello et al. 14

Study and determine whether the “decay index,  $n$ ” can be used as a CME trigger predictor



# WT6 Explorative Research



Investigation of time series of flare predictors

If a flare has occurred, more will occur

(e.g. Wheatland, 2005;  
Strugarek & Charboneau; 2014)

Example of a run using data assimilation for the GOES flux in the 1–8 Å range during the flaring events of the active region 1045 between February 6 and February 9, 2010. The top panel shows the GOES flux (blue)

The bottom panel shows the GOES signal (grey boxes) used in the data assimilation run. The assimilated sequence (DA) is shown in red along with three random realizations of the model in orange, green and magenta.

(Strugarek & Charboneau; 2014)

---

# FLARECAST

---

web page  
<http://flarecast.eu>

WT7 : dissemination  
H. Sathiapal FHNW

Still under construction